North Myrtle Beach High

3750 Sea Mountain Highway Little River, SC 29566

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 1.221 Students

Principal Mike Blanton 843–399–6171

Superintendent Dr. Bobby Nalley, Acting 843–488–6700

Superintendent

Board Chair Will Garland 843-358-8002

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2006_R

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

7 17 6 3 0

IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

О

This school met 15 out of 19 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD							
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress				
2003	Excellent	Good	No				
2004	Excellent	Good	Yes				
2005	Excellent	Excellent	No				
2006	Average	Unsatisfactory	No				

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS								
		Our School		'	gh Schools w dents Like O			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed 2 subtests	80.2	74.0	77.7	76.0	73.3	75.9		
Passed 1 subtest	8.7	12.3	11.5	13.2	14.1	11.0		
Passed no subtests	11.0	13.7	10.8	11.1	12.5	13.0		

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	89.4%	92.2%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP						
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours				
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	15.3	14.4				
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	15.3	15.2				
Seniors who met the grade point average	26.1	52.0				

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	275	260
Number of Diplomas	196	190
Rate	71.3%	75.0%

END OF COURSE TESTS							
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	70.5	80.6					
English 1	75.8	65.2					
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	58.2	65.6					
Physical Science	43.8	46.6					
All Subjects	62.3	63.5					

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006		Eligibility Schola		Graduation Rate			
	n %		n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	265	89.4	176	15.3	196	71.3	No	
Gender								
Male	141	87.9	86	18.6	138	68.8	N/A	
Female	123	91.1	90	12.2	137	73.7	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	174	93.7	122	21.3	185	76.8	N/A	
African American	84	82.1	48	2.1	79	59.5	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	66.7	2	0.0	3	66.7	N/A	
Hispanic	3	66.7	3	0.0	6	50.0	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	221	95.5	150	17.3	235	78.3	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	44	59.1	26	3.8	40	30.0	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	265	89.4	176	15.3	275	71.3	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	1	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	263	90.1	175	15.4	273	71.8	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	120	85.8	125	63.2	125	63.2	N/A	
Full-pay meals	145	92.4	103	21.4	150	78.0	N/A	

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

				OUP

	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and	Performance Objection	Participation Objective Met
	nglish/Lan		1	/ Performa	/	,	.3%		
All Students	278	97.8	11.1	26.5	29.2	33.2	70.0	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	140	96.4	15.4	27.7	28.5	28.5	64.6	N/A	N/A
Female	138	99.3	6.5	25.2	30.1	38.2	75.6	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group			,	,	,		,	,	
White	187	98.4	7.0	20.3	31.4	41.3	80.8	Yes	Yes
African American	67	95.5	23.0	41.0	23.0	13.1	42.6	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	15	100.0	16.7	50.0	16.7	16.7	41.7	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status Not Disabled	224	00.2	4.7	22.6	22.5	20.2	70.7	NI/A	NI/A
Not disabled Disabled	231	98.3 95.7	4.7 43.9	22.6 46.3	33.5 7.3	39.2 2.4	79.7 19.5	N/A I/S	N/A Yes
Migrant Status	47	95.7	43.9	40.3	7.3	2.4	19.5	1/5	res
Migrant Status	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	278	97.8	11.1	26.5	29.2	33.2	70.0	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	210	37.0	11.1	20.0	23.2	33.2	70.0	IN/A	IN/A
Limited English Proficient	11	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	267	97.8	10.7	25.4	29.9	34.0	71.7	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									1 47 1
Subsidized meals	112	95.5	19.4	37.8	27.6	15.3	50.0	No	Yes
Full-pay meals	166	99.4	5.8	19.4	30.3	44.5	82.6	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	ance Obie	ective = 50	0%			
All Students	278	97.8	14.3	32.1	26.6	27.0	70.2	Yes	Yes
Gender				3=:1					
Male	140	96.4	17.8	27.1	25.6	29.5	69.0	N/A	N/A
Female	138	99.3	10.6	37.4	27.6	24.4	71.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	187	98.9	9.3	27.9	29.1	33.7	77.9	Yes	Yes
African American	67	94.0	30.0	36.7	21.7	11.7	50.0	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	15	100.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	N/A	41.7	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	231	98.7	7.5	31.6	29.2	31.6	78.3	N/A	N/A
Disabled	47	93.6	50.0	35.0	12.5	2.5	27.5	I/S	No
Migrant Status	1 ^	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NIZA	NI/A	NI/A	NIA
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	278	97.8	14.3	32.1	26.6	27.0	70.2	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	11	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
Limited English Proficient	007	100.0	IN/A	N/A	1V/A	N/A	74.0	1/5	1/5

Non-Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

267

97.8

95.5

14.0

20.6

10.3

30.9

43.3

27.6

20.6

30.3

27.6

15.5

71.2

56.7

N/A

Yes

N/A

Yes

N/A

Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year

	Our School	Change from Last Year		Hiç Scho with St Like	ools udents	Median High School
Students (n= 1,221)						
Retention rate Attendance rate	1.0% 96.4%		om 1.6% 96.3%		6.3% 95.3%	7.0% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	14.1%		om 18.0%		13.4%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	17.0%		om 17.4%		11.9%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	3.2% 8.6%				8.5% 1.8%	9.5% 1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	15.2% N/A	- 1	9.9%		9.9% N/A	11.2% N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	15.3%				13.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate	4.7%	Up from	2.6%		3.1%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	5.2%		om 6.9%		1.1%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	462	Up from	410		371	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	2.1%	Down fr	om 14.0%		27.0%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	69.6%	Down fr	om 84.7%		81.9%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed *Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 75)	94.2% ents.	Down fr	om 98.9%		99.3%	99.1%
Teachers with advanced degrees	46.7%	Down fr	om 50.0%		59.2%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV				N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	19.9%	N/A			7.2%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	10.6%	Up from	10.5%		6.3%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	83.8% 96.3%		om 84.8% 95.6%		86.9% 95.8%	86.3% 95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$44,355	Up 3.5%	0	\$-	43,437	\$42,943
Prof. development days/teacher	10.5 days	Down fr	om 11.9 days	10.	5 days	11.2 days
School						
Principal's years at school	7.0 29.0 to 1	Up from	6.0 om 30.1 to 1	26	4.0 3.8 to 1	3.0 25.7 to 1
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects Prime instructional time	91.8%				89.9%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6.953	Down 1			\$6.514	\$6.792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	53.9%	Down fr	om 57.5%		54.3%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	58.7%				61.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts		ent No change			cellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	94.7%	% Down from 98.3%			93.1%	92.8%
SACS accreditation		Yes No change Good Down from Excellent			Yes	Yes
Character development * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	G000	Down tr		District	Good	Good
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high	y qualified teache	ers		2.9%		6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high			9	9.0%		10.2%
			State Object	tive	Met St	ate Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in	this school		0.0%			No

94.0%*

Yes

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2005-06 school year was another outstanding one at North Myrtle Beach High School. Several new initiatives designed to assist students were implemented. These were:

A Sophomore Academy was initiated. Designed similar to the Freshman Academy, the Sophomore Academy assisted in the academic achievement of all sophomore students. Freshmen and Sophomore Continuum of Learning Houses in which students worked on

integrated curriculum at their own pace were utilized. Students were required to demonstrate mastery in each discipline throughout the school year.

A Business Academy was initiated to allow junior and senior students who were interested in business as a career were allowed to take dual credit courses in business education. The courses were taught through Horry-Georgetown Technical College on the NMBHS campus at no cost to our students.

The athletic program continued to show success, ranking as the second most successful athletic program in Horry County. Teams winning Region 7-AAA Championships included wrestling, boys' soccer, and golf. The wrestling team finished the year as Lower State Champions and State Runners-Up while the boys golf team won their second consecutive State Championship.

The fine arts program continued to rise to great heights. For the first time in school history, NMBHS offered an Advanced Placement Studio Art class with ten participants. Our band, orchestra, drama, and choral music programs continue to receive excellent ratings in performances and competitions.

Honors won during the 2005-06 school year include:

School Winner of the Superintendent's Service Learning Award,

Over \$4 Million in college scholarships,

Third consecutive Excellent School Report Card,

Second consecutive year with over 96% student attendance rate.

Four groups of teachers asked to present at the High Schools That Work

Summer Staff Development Conference in Nashville,

Thousands of hours logged by our students in community service projects,

Over 150 advanced placement examinations given to students, more than doubling that number for the third consecutive year,

One student winning an award (one of eight national winners) given by the Scholastic Company, and

Two members of the staff attending the Stanford University Change Leadership Institute.

Porter Kennington, Principal, 2005-06 Chief William Cain, Chairman, School Improvement Council

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	71	46	59
Percent satisfied with learning environment	85.7%	60.9%	64.4%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	82.6%	63.6%	60.0%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	70.4%	72.7%	74.1%

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.